

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410B - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 20835, Fall 2019
 Instrument: CoS Lecture 111616.2
 Date Printed: 02/03/2020 07:45:59
 Enrolled in class: 28
 Responded to this evaluation: 18
 Response Percentage: 64.29%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1						
1	Rate the instructor's overall organization and presentation of the course material.	17	94%	4.71	.85	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	1	5.56%			
	3 = Average	1	5.56%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	15	83.33%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			
2	Rate the instructor's focus on the student learning outcomes listed in the syllabus.	17	94%	4.76	.66	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	2	11.11%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	15	83.33%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			
3	Rate the instructor's teaching overall.	17	94%	4.88	.33	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	2	11.11%			
	5 = Excellent	15	83.33%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			

Group 2

4	Rate the instructor's enhancement of your understanding of the subject matter.	17	94%	4.76	.75	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	1	5.56%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	5.56%			
	5 = Excellent	15	83.33%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			
5	Rate the contribution of exams to the learning process.	17	94%	4.65	.70	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	2	11.11%			
	4 = Good	2	11.11%			
	5 = Excellent	13	72.22%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			
6	Rate the contribution of assignments to the learning process.	17	94%	4.41	1.06	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	2	11.11%			
	3 = Average	1	5.56%			
	4 = Good	2	11.11%			
	5 = Excellent	12	66.67%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			
7	Rate the instructor's effective use of class time.	17	94%	4.82	.39	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	3	16.67%			
	5 = Excellent	14	77.78%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			
8	Rate the instructor's clarity of presentation of materials.	17	94%	4.82	.39	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	3	16.67%			
	5 = Excellent	14	77.78%			

	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			
9	Rate the instructor's helpfulness.	17	94%	4.82	.53	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	5.56%			
	4 = Good	1	5.56%			
	5 = Excellent	15	83.33%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			
10	Rate the instructor's responsiveness.	16	89%	4.88	.50	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	5.56%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	15	83.33%			
	Not Applicable	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	11.11%			
11	Rate the instructor's demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	17	94%	5.00	.00	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	17	94.44%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			
12	Rate the instructor's stimulation of your interest in the subject.	17	94%	4.59	1.06	5.00
	1 = Poor	1	5.56%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	5.56%			
	4 = Good	1	5.56%			
	5 = Excellent	14	77.78%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			

Group Totals	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1	4.78.64	5.00	
Group 2	4.75.68	5.00	

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
	4.76.67	5.00	

Qualitative

14 *What were the instructor's strengths?*

- A: Good in everything.
- A: Being passionate about the subject, being able to dumb down material, and being very available
- A: BEST PROF EVER!!!
- A: Extremely timely when responding back to students' emails. Hosted review sessions himself to give students extra help on material.
- A: Use of different colors of markers on the whiteboard helped organize the lecture notes. Provides good feedback on exams and quizzes.
- A: The material is geared toward the exams, so there's very rarely a surprise or a question that feels random. I generally feel prepared for the exams based off of things discussed in class.
- A: Over explained pointless formulas
- A: Gave detailed information, always willing to help
- A: Good
- A: Best Professor Ever
- A: Always eager to help and easy to talk to about class material.
- A: Extremely smart and knew how to explain.
- A: Very clear lecturer, I enjoyed this class a lot. Very interesting subject.

5 student(s) did not respond to this question.

15 *In what ways might the instructor improve this course?*

- A: Full timed testes
- A: nothing
- A: Class is pretty intense with so many exams even though they are very short, having them every 2 weeks made me feel like I could never catch my breath. Still, I'm not sure if a typical hour long exam would be better for this course. Just something to keep in mind.
- A: Give more example questions.
- A: 20 minutes is short for exams, maybe lengthen to 30 minutes.
- A: Add an extra five minutes to the regular exams.
- A: Good
- A: N/A
- A: I have no criticisms for the overall course, though part 1 of the final was exceptionally hard. Everyone I talked to agreed that it was too hard, which didn't really make me feel better because that just means we all did poorly.
- A: By making exams easier

8 student(s) did not respond to this question.

18 *What is your major?*

- A: Biochemistry
- A: Chemistry
- A: Chemistry
- A: Biochemistry
- A: Chemical Physics
- A: Chemistry
- A: chemistry
- A: Chemistry
- A: Chemistry
- A: Biochemistry

A: chemistry
A: Biochemistry
A: Chemistry
A: Biochemistry
A: Chemistry

3 student(s) did not respond to this question.