

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 20752, Spring 2018
 Instrument: CoS Lecture 111616.2
 Date Printed: 02/03/2020 07:30:57
 Enrolled in class: 17
 Responded to this evaluation: 10
 Response Percentage: 58.82%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1						
1	Rate the instructor's overall organization and presentation of the course material.	10	100%	4.90	.32	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	10.00%			
	5 = Excellent	9	90.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
2	Rate the instructor's focus on the student learning outcomes listed in the syllabus.	10	100%	5.00	.00	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	10	100.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
3	Rate the instructor's teaching overall.	10	100%	4.90	.32	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	10.00%			
	5 = Excellent	9	90.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			

Group 2

4	Rate the instructor's enhancement of your understanding of the subject matter.	9	90%	4.89	.33	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	10.00%			
	5 = Excellent	8	80.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	10.00%			
5	Rate the contribution of exams to the learning process.	10	100%	4.50	.71	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	10.00%			
	4 = Good	3	30.00%			
	5 = Excellent	6	60.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
6	Rate the contribution of assignments to the learning process.	10	100%	4.50	.71	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	10.00%			
	4 = Good	3	30.00%			
	5 = Excellent	6	60.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
7	Rate the instructor's effective use of class time.	10	100%	4.90	.32	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	10.00%			
	5 = Excellent	9	90.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
8	Rate the instructor's clarity of presentation of materials.	10	100%	4.90	.32	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	10.00%			
	5 = Excellent	9	90.00%			

	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
9	Rate the instructor's helpfulness.	10	100%	4.90	.32	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	10.00%			
	5 = Excellent	9	90.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
10	Rate the instructor's responsiveness.	10	100%	5.00	.00	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	10	100.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
11	Rate the instructor's demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	10	100%	5.00	.00	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	10	100.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
12	Rate the instructor's stimulation of your interest in the subject.	10	100%	4.80	.42	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	2	20.00%			
	5 = Excellent	8	80.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			

Group Totals	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1	4.93	.25	5.00
Group 2	4.82	.44	5.00

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
	4.85	.40	5.00

Qualitative

14 *What were the instructor's strengths?*

A: G

A: Dr. Cooksy was very helpful in preparing for the exams. His office hours and Monday night sessions were also very helpful and since the lectures were maximized to learn the most material, it was a great place to ask questions.

A: Dr. Cooksy is one of the smartest people I know and has pchem absolutely mastered. He presents material in an organized way that's easy to follow

A: Lectures

A: very smart and passionate about the subject, nice and helpful

5 student(s) did not respond to this question.

15 *In what ways might the instructor improve this course?*

A: tests

A: More time on the exams

A: I think maybe adding 5-10 minutes on exams would take more of the pressure off and make for a more successful exam.

A: Nothing

A: G

5 student(s) did not respond to this question.

18 *What is your major?*

A: Chemistry

A: Biochem

A: biochemistry

A: Chemistry

A: Chemistry

A: chemistry

A: Biochemistry

3 student(s) did not respond to this question.

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 24834, Spring 2018
 Instrument: CoS Lecture 111616.2
 Date Printed: 02/03/2020 07:31:56
 Enrolled in class: 16
 Responded to this evaluation: 14
 Response Percentage: 87.50%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1						
1	Rate the instructor's overall organization and presentation of the course material.	13	93%	4.69	.75	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	2	14.29%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	11	78.57%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			
2	Rate the instructor's focus on the student learning outcomes listed in the syllabus.	13	93%	4.77	.60	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	7.14%			
	4 = Good	1	7.14%			
	5 = Excellent	11	78.57%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			
3	Rate the instructor's teaching overall.	13	93%	4.69	.63	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	7.14%			
	4 = Good	2	14.29%			
	5 = Excellent	10	71.43%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			

Group 2

4	Rate the instructor's enhancement of your understanding of the subject matter.	13	93%	4.62	.77	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	2	14.29%			
	4 = Good	1	7.14%			
	5 = Excellent	10	71.43%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			
5	Rate the contribution of exams to the learning process.	13	93%	4.46	1.05	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	1	7.14%			
	3 = Average	2	14.29%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	10	71.43%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			
6	Rate the contribution of assignments to the learning process.	13	93%	4.46	.97	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	1	7.14%			
	3 = Average	1	7.14%			
	4 = Good	2	14.29%			
	5 = Excellent	9	64.29%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			
7	Rate the instructor's effective use of class time.	13	93%	4.69	.63	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	7.14%			
	4 = Good	2	14.29%			
	5 = Excellent	10	71.43%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			
8	Rate the instructor's clarity of presentation of materials.	13	93%	4.62	.77	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	2	14.29%			
	4 = Good	1	7.14%			
	5 = Excellent	10	71.43%			

	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			
9	Rate the instructor's helpfulness.	13	93%	4.92	.28	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	7.14%			
	5 = Excellent	12	85.71%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			
10	Rate the instructor's responsiveness.	13	93%	4.92	.28	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	7.14%			
	5 = Excellent	12	85.71%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			
11	Rate the instructor's demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	13	93%	4.92	.28	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	7.14%			
	5 = Excellent	12	85.71%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			
12	Rate the instructor's stimulation of your interest in the subject.	13	93%	4.69	.63	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	7.14%			
	4 = Good	2	14.29%			
	5 = Excellent	10	71.43%			
	Not Applicable	1	7.14%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.14%			

Group Totals	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1	4.72	.65	5.00
Group 2	4.70	.69	5.00

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
	4.71	.67	5.00

Qualitative

14 *What were the instructor's strengths?*

- A: Knowledge of subject
- A: Cooksy is amazing, and you can tell he really knows Physical Chemistry inside and out. He goes the extra mile to memorize peoples names which is rare at universities. He genuinely cares if you understand the material, and the example sessions he holds, which on his own time, are proof. He managed to make a very intimidating class fun.
- A: Extremely educated on the subject, very motivating and responsive, made the difficult subject extremely fun.
- A: Dr. Cooksy is one of the best lecturers I've ever had. His approach to utilizing class time as effectively as possible kept the class engaging, as well as his excellent organization of material written on the board. He quickly and effectively answered student questions while also getting the lecture material finished. He was very clear with what would be on the exams and what we are expected to know. The textbook is very clearly written and provides thought provoking end of chapter problems to help enhance our understanding of the material. His turnaround time for grades was consistent and fast, and he also posted exam solutions the same day as the exam to help put students' minds to rest while we waited to get grades back. He also has weekly review sessions which I was unfortunately unable to attend due to my work schedule, but was helpful for my classmates all the same. Dr. Cooksy is easily the best lecturer I've ever had.
- A: THE SMARTEST PERSON ON CAMPUS
- A: Did his very best to explain this complicated subject in such a little amount of time.
- A: Extremely kind, helpful and caring professor
- A: He is responsible and gentle. He is very knowledgeable on the subject matter.
- A: organization, helpfulness, mastery of the subject

5 student(s) did not respond to this question.

15 *In what ways might the instructor improve this course?*

- A: none
- A: No
- A: same as written on lab portion
- A: Maybe more assignments in class where we work with our peers and discuss material we've learned.
- A: Provide more practice in class
- A: N/A
- A: Dr. Cooksy could provide a sample exam for each of the 6 exams throughout the semester. Additionally, some more numerical examples would be helpful, but due to limited lecture time, I can understand why this hasn't been done.
- A: honestly i took an English class that was equal in credits and never once studied and put in two to three times as much effort into this class and still got a worse grade in Pchem. this class should be another credit. I'm almost certain every Pchem student would appreciate further explanation by someone who understands it on this subject.

6 student(s) did not respond to this question.

18 *What is your major?*

- A: Chemistry
- A: Chemistry
- A: Biochemistry
- A: Biochemistry
- A: BIOCHEM
- A: Biochemistry
- A: Chemistry
- A: Biochemistry

A: biochemistry

A: Chemistry

4 student(s) did not respond to this question.