

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 20739, Spring 2017
 Instrument: CoS Lecture 111616.2
 Date Printed: 02/03/2020 07:20:09
 Enrolled in class: 17
 Responded to this evaluation: 7
 Response Percentage: 41.18%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1						
1	Rate the instructor's overall organization and presentation of the course material.	6	86%	4.17	.41	4.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	5	71.43%			
	5 = Excellent	1	14.29%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	14.29%			
2	Rate the instructor's focus on the student learning outcomes listed in the syllabus.	5	71%	4.60	.55	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	2	28.57%			
	5 = Excellent	3	42.86%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	28.57%			
3	Rate the instructor's teaching overall.	6	86%	4.33	.52	4.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	4	57.14%			
	5 = Excellent	2	28.57%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	14.29%			

Group 2

4	Rate the instructor's enhancement of your understanding of the subject matter.	6	86%	4.33	.52	4.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	4	57.14%			
	5 = Excellent	2	28.57%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	14.29%			
5	Rate the contribution of exams to the learning process.	6	86%	4.17	.75	4.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	14.29%			
	4 = Good	3	42.86%			
	5 = Excellent	2	28.57%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	14.29%			
6	Rate the contribution of assignments to the learning process.	6	86%	3.83	1.47	4.00
	1 = Poor	1	14.29%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	3	42.86%			
	5 = Excellent	2	28.57%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	14.29%			
7	Rate the instructor's effective use of class time.	6	86%	4.17	.75	4.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	14.29%			
	4 = Good	3	42.86%			
	5 = Excellent	2	28.57%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	14.29%			
8	Rate the instructor's clarity of presentation of materials.	5	71%	4.40	.55	4.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	3	42.86%			
	5 = Excellent	2	28.57%			

	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	28.57%			
9	Rate the instructor's helpfulness.	6	86%	4.50	.55	4.50
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	3	42.86%			
	5 = Excellent	3	42.86%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	14.29%			
10	Rate the instructor's responsiveness.	6	86%	4.67	.52	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	2	28.57%			
	5 = Excellent	4	57.14%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	14.29%			
11	Rate the instructor's demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	6	86%	4.83	.41	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	14.29%			
	5 = Excellent	5	71.43%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	14.29%			
12	Rate the instructor's stimulation of your interest in the subject.	6	86%	4.00	.63	4.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	14.29%			
	4 = Good	4	57.14%			
	5 = Excellent	1	14.29%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	14.29%			

Group Totals	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1	4.3549	4.00	
Group 2	4.3275	4.00	

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
	4.3370	4.00	

Qualitative

14 *What were the instructor's strengths?*

- A: Professor Cooksy is very approachable. He's very welcoming to whatever questions you may have. I always got the impression that he wants all of his students to succeed. He's just an overall caring professor. He's very responsive via email.
- A: Very responsive to student questions.
- A: Providing extra material to practice and practice sessions?
- A: Very knowledgeable of the subject and made class interesting with the occasional joke

3 student(s) did not respond to this question.

15 *In what ways might the instructor improve this course?*

- A: Really fast paced
- A: There was a few people in the class who consistently asked a lot of questions during lecture. Some of those questions were a little off topic and hypothetical. I think it's great professor Cooksy welcomes so many questions. However, it's a bit distracting to answer off topic questions in the middle of making a point. Sometimes the questions just went on for 15-20 mins and I found myself losing track of what professor Cooksy was trying to teach me. Perhaps finishing the topic at hand and then answering all of the questions may be better?
- A: Slightly easier test questions! But the quizzes were perfect
- A: Assignments that better prepare students for exams.

3 student(s) did not respond to this question.

18 *What is your major?*

- A: Chemistry
- A: Biochemistry
- A: Chemistry
- A: Chemistry.
- A: Biochemistry

2 student(s) did not respond to this question.

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 24656, Spring 2017
 Instrument: CoS Lecture 111616.2
 Date Printed: 02/03/2020 07:21:51
 Enrolled in class: 17
 Responded to this evaluation: 15
 Response Percentage: 88.24%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1						
1	Rate the instructor's overall organization and presentation of the course material.	13	87%	4.46	1.20	5.00
	1 = Poor	1	6.67%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	6.67%			
	4 = Good	1	6.67%			
	5 = Excellent	10	66.67%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	13.33%			
2	Rate the instructor's focus on the student learning outcomes listed in the syllabus.	13	87%	4.38	1.19	5.00
	1 = Poor	1	6.67%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	6.67%			
	4 = Good	2	13.33%			
	5 = Excellent	9	60.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	13.33%			
3	Rate the instructor's teaching overall.	13	87%	4.46	1.13	5.00
	1 = Poor	1	6.67%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	3	20.00%			
	5 = Excellent	9	60.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	13.33%			

Group 2

4	Rate the instructor's enhancement of your understanding of the subject matter.	13	87%	4.31	1.18	5.00
	1 = Poor	1	6.67%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	6.67%			
	4 = Good	3	20.00%			
	5 = Excellent	8	53.33%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	13.33%			
5	Rate the contribution of exams to the learning process.	13	87%	4.15	1.14	4.00
	1 = Poor	1	6.67%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	6.67%			
	4 = Good	5	33.33%			
	5 = Excellent	6	40.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	13.33%			
6	Rate the contribution of assignments to the learning process.	12	80%	4.17	.83	4.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	3	20.00%			
	4 = Good	4	26.67%			
	5 = Excellent	5	33.33%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	20.00%			
7	Rate the instructor's effective use of class time.	13	87%	4.54	1.13	5.00
	1 = Poor	1	6.67%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	2	13.33%			
	5 = Excellent	10	66.67%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	13.33%			
8	Rate the instructor's clarity of presentation of materials.	13	87%	4.23	1.09	4.00
	1 = Poor	1	6.67%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	6	40.00%			
	5 = Excellent	6	40.00%			

	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	13.33%			
9	Rate the instructor's helpfulness.	12	80%	4.92	.29	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	6.67%			
	5 = Excellent	11	73.33%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	20.00%			
10	Rate the instructor's responsiveness.	13	87%	4.54	1.13	5.00
	1 = Poor	1	6.67%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	2	13.33%			
	5 = Excellent	10	66.67%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	13.33%			
11	Rate the instructor's demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	13	87%	4.69	1.11	5.00
	1 = Poor	1	6.67%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	12	80.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	13.33%			
12	Rate the instructor's stimulation of your interest in the subject.	13	87%	4.15	1.07	4.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	2	13.33%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	5	33.33%			
	5 = Excellent	6	40.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	13.33%			

Group Totals	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1	4.44	1.14	5.00
Group 2	4.41	1.03	5.00

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
	4.42	1.06	5.00

Qualitative

14 *What were the instructor's strengths?*

A: Everything. He is god

A: Dr. Cooksy really is an expert in his field and makes learning an unbearable subject bearable.

13 student(s) did not respond to this question.

15 *In what ways might the instructor improve this course?*

A: None

14 student(s) did not respond to this question.

18 *What is your major?*

A: Chemistry

A: Biochemistry

A: Biochemistry

A: Chemistry

A: Chemical physics

A: Biochemistry

A: Biochemistry

A: Chemistry

A: Chemistry

A: biochemistry

5 student(s) did not respond to this question.

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 32120, Spring 2017
 Instrument: CoS Lecture 111616.2
 Date Printed: 02/03/2020 07:23:15
 Enrolled in class: 15
 Responded to this evaluation: 13
 Response Percentage: 86.67%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1						
1	Rate the instructor's overall organization and presentation of the course material.	13	100%	4.85	.38	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	2	15.38%			
	5 = Excellent	11	84.62%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
2	Rate the instructor's focus on the student learning outcomes listed in the syllabus.	13	100%	4.92	.28	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	7.69%			
	5 = Excellent	12	92.31%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
3	Rate the instructor's teaching overall.	13	100%	4.77	.44	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	3	23.08%			
	5 = Excellent	10	76.92%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			

Group 2

4	Rate the instructor's enhancement of your understanding of the subject matter.	13	100%	4.54	.88	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	3	23.08%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	10	76.92%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
5	Rate the contribution of exams to the learning process.	12	92%	4.17	1.03	4.50
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	1	7.69%			
	3 = Average	2	15.38%			
	4 = Good	3	23.08%			
	5 = Excellent	6	46.15%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	7.69%			
6	Rate the contribution of assignments to the learning process.	13	100%	4.38	.96	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	1	7.69%			
	3 = Average	1	7.69%			
	4 = Good	3	23.08%			
	5 = Excellent	8	61.54%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
7	Rate the instructor's effective use of class time.	13	100%	4.92	.28	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	7.69%			
	5 = Excellent	12	92.31%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
8	Rate the instructor's clarity of presentation of materials.	13	100%	4.62	.65	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	7.69%			
	4 = Good	3	23.08%			
	5 = Excellent	9	69.23%			

	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
9	Rate the instructor's helpfulness.	13	100%	5.00	.00	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	13	100.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
10	Rate the instructor's responsiveness.	13	100%	4.92	.28	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	7.69%			
	5 = Excellent	12	92.31%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
11	Rate the instructor's demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	13	100%	5.00	.00	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	13	100.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
12	Rate the instructor's stimulation of your interest in the subject.	13	100%	4.46	.78	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	2	15.38%			
	4 = Good	3	23.08%			
	5 = Excellent	8	61.54%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			

Group Totals	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1	4.85	.37	5.00
Group 2	4.67	.70	5.00

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
	4.72	.63	5.00

Qualitative

14 *What were the instructor's strengths?*

- A: Multiple ways to get help.
- A: Willingness to always help students as best as he can but the subject is difficult regardless
- A: Andrew Cooksy presented the material clearly by giving the class an intuition for the subject in addition to the mathematics. I had already taken modern physics before this and I felt like this class gave me an even better understanding of the previous modern physics class. Additionally extra information in "tools of the trade" sections in Andrew Cooksy's book made the subject even more interesting. We had much more practice work than was assigned, which allowed me to get plenty of practice for tests. Additionally the book used for the course was the one Andrew Cooksy wrote himself so he was familiar with everything in it, making the nomenclature he used consistent with the book.
- A: Cooksy is a brilliant professor. His organization is very clear and helpful to the understanding of the material. It seems he really enjoys his work and wants the best for his students. It's a hard class, yes, but Cooksy did very well trying to help students succeed.

9 student(s) did not respond to this question.

15 *In what ways might the instructor improve this course?*

- A: lessen the difficulty and severity of exams
- A: Extra Credit research opportunities?
- A: Longer testing times.
- A: I think Andrew Cooksy could safely assume that we read the material and give us more in class problems to double check that we understood the material we read at home. That way we could cover even more material. I hope he does this in math 342B.

9 student(s) did not respond to this question.

18 *What is your major?*

- A: Chemistry
- A: Physics but I am switching to chemical physics.
- A: Biochemistry
- A: Chemistry
- A: biochemistry
- A: Biochemistry
- A: Biochemistry
- A: Chemistry

5 student(s) did not respond to this question.