

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 31006, Spring 2015
 Instrument: Survey_id 43348 College of Science - Lecture and Lab
 Date Printed: 04/27/2017 06:08:22
 Enrolled in class: 19
 Responded to this evaluation: 17
 Response Percentage: 89.47%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
1	Has enhanced your knowledge and understanding of the subject matter.	17	100%	4.65	.61	5.00
	Outstanding	12	70.59%			
	Good	4	23.53%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.88%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
2	Syllabus provided clear learning objectives, expectations, and grading criteria.	17	100%	4.82	.39	5.00
	Outstanding	14	82.35%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
3	Exams and assignments contributed to the learning process.	17	100%	4.71	.47	5.00

	Outstanding	12	70.59%			
	Good	5	29.41%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
4	Classroom time was organized and used effectively.	17	100%	4.82	.39	5.00
	Outstanding	14	82.35%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
5	Overall rating of this course.	17	100%	4.65	.70	5.00
	Outstanding	13	76.47%			
	Good	2	11.76%			
	Satisfactory	2	11.76%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
6	Grade you anticipate receiving for this course.	14	82%	3.86	.86	4.00
	A	3	17.65%			
	B	7	41.18%			
	C - (Credit)	3	17.65%			
	D	1	5.88%			
	F - (No Credit)	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	3	17.65%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	17.65%			
7	Presented material in a clear and logical manner.	17	100%	4.71	.59	5.00
	Outstanding	13	76.47%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.88%			
	Fair	0	.00%			

	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
8	Had command of the English language.	17	100%	4.94	.24	5.00
	Outstanding	16	94.12%			
	Good	1	5.88%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
9	Was responsive and helpful.	17	100%	4.88	.33	5.00
	Outstanding	15	88.24%			
	Good	2	11.76%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
10	Demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	17	100%	4.88	.33	5.00
	Outstanding	15	88.24%			
	Good	2	11.76%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
11	Stimulated your interest in the subject, recognizing that you may not plan to take additional courses in this area.	17	100%	4.71	.59	5.00
	Outstanding	13	76.47%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.88%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			

	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
12	Used instructional technology effectively.	17	100%	4.76	.44	5.00
	Outstanding	13	76.47%			
	Good	4	23.53%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
13	Responded to diverse student learning styles and needs.	16	94%	4.75	.45	5.00
	Outstanding	12	70.59%			
	Good	4	23.53%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.88%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.88%			
14	Overall rating of this instructor.	17	100%	4.82	.39	5.00
	Outstanding	14	82.35%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
15	Has enhanced your knowledge and understanding of the subject matter.	17	100%	4.47	.72	5.00
	Outstanding	10	58.82%			
	Good	5	29.41%			
	Satisfactory	2	11.76%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
16	Syllabus provided clear learning objectives, expectations, and grading	17	100%	4.82	.39	5.00

	criteria.					
	Outstanding	14	82.35%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
17	Activities contributed to the learning process.	17	100%	4.65	.61	5.00
	Outstanding	12	70.59%			
	Good	4	23.53%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.88%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
18	Time was organized and used effectively.	17	100%	4.65	.61	5.00
	Outstanding	12	70.59%			
	Good	4	23.53%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.88%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
19	Safety policies were emphasized.	14	82%	4.71	.61	5.00
	Outstanding	11	64.71%			
	Good	2	11.76%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.88%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	3	17.65%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	17.65%			
20	Overall rating of this laboratory.	17	100%	4.53	.72	5.00
	Outstanding	11	64.71%			
	Good	4	23.53%			
	Satisfactory	2	11.76%			

	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
21	Grade you anticipate receiving for this laboratory.	13	76%	4.31	.75	4.00
	A	6	35.29%			
	B	5	29.41%			
	C - (Credit)	2	11.76%			
	D	0	.00%			
	F (No Credit)	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	4	23.53%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	4	23.53%			

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
4.68	.58	5.00	

Qualitative

22 *We value any additional comments.*

A: Dr. Cooksy knows his stuff. He is a great instructor.

A: awesome!

A: One of the best professors at SDSU! He has a immense understanding of the material and conveys it in an easy to understand way. During lecture I could understand complicated concepts just by listening to him (however I didn't always remember it on the test). He went out of his way to help every student and would give detailed answers to any question. This is saying a lot considering how difficult this course is. Overall just a fantastic professor and person. He also kinda reminds me of Santa Claus when he wears red which is cool.

A: Awesome professor! Really took the time to teach the class and have students learn the material! I hope you teach 420B in the Fall.

13 student(s) did not respond to this question.

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 31006, Spring 2015
 Instrument: Survey_id 43348 College of Science - Lecture and Lab
 Date Printed: 04/27/2017 06:10:34
 Enrolled in class: 19
 Responded to this evaluation: 17
 Response Percentage: 89.47%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
1	Has enhanced your knowledge and understanding of the subject matter.	17	100%	4.59	.71	5.00
	Outstanding	12	70.59%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	2	11.76%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
2	Syllabus provided clear learning objectives, expectations, and grading criteria.	17	100%	4.76	.44	5.00
	Outstanding	13	76.47%			
	Good	4	23.53%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
3	Exams and assignments contributed to the learning process.	17	100%	4.71	.47	5.00

	Outstanding	12	70.59%			
	Good	5	29.41%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
4	Classroom time was organized and used effectively.	17	100%	4.76	.44	5.00
	Outstanding	13	76.47%			
	Good	4	23.53%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
5	Overall rating of this course.	17	100%	4.71	.59	5.00
	Outstanding	13	76.47%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.88%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
6	Grade you anticipate receiving for this course.	15	88%	3.80	1.01	4.00
	A	4	23.53%			
	B	6	35.29%			
	C - (Credit)	3	17.65%			
	D	2	11.76%			
	F - (No Credit)	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	2	11.76%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	11.76%			
7	Presented material in a clear and logical manner.	17	100%	4.59	.71	5.00
	Outstanding	12	70.59%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	2	11.76%			
	Fair	0	.00%			

	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
8	Had command of the English language.	17	100%	4.94	.24	5.00
	Outstanding	16	94.12%			
	Good	1	5.88%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
9	Was responsive and helpful.	17	100%	4.88	.33	5.00
	Outstanding	15	88.24%			
	Good	2	11.76%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
10	Demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	17	100%	4.88	.33	5.00
	Outstanding	15	88.24%			
	Good	2	11.76%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
11	Stimulated your interest in the subject, recognizing that you may not plan to take additional courses in this area.	17	100%	4.53	.87	5.00
	Outstanding	12	70.59%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.88%			
	Fair	1	5.88%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			

	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
12	Used instructional technology effectively.	17	100%	4.76	.56	5.00
	Outstanding	14	82.35%			
	Good	2	11.76%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.88%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
13	Responded to diverse student learning styles and needs.	17	100%	4.82	.39	5.00
	Outstanding	14	82.35%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
14	Overall rating of this instructor.	17	100%	4.76	.44	5.00
	Outstanding	13	76.47%			
	Good	4	23.53%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
15	Has enhanced your knowledge and understanding of the subject matter.	17	100%	4.76	.56	5.00
	Outstanding	14	82.35%			
	Good	2	11.76%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.88%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
16	Syllabus provided clear learning objectives, expectations, and grading	17	100%	4.82	.39	5.00

	criteria.					
	Outstanding	14	82.35%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
17	Activities contributed to the learning process.	17	100%	4.82	.39	5.00
	Outstanding	14	82.35%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
18	Time was organized and used effectively.	16	94%	4.81	.40	5.00
	Outstanding	13	76.47%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.88%			
19	Safety policies were emphasized.	15	88%	4.80	.41	5.00
	Outstanding	12	70.59%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.88%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	11.76%			
20	Overall rating of this laboratory.	17	100%	4.82	.39	5.00
	Outstanding	14	82.35%			
	Good	3	17.65%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			

	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
21	Grade you anticipate receiving for this laboratory.	14	82%	4.29	.73	4.00
	A	6	35.29%			
	B	6	35.29%			
	C - (Credit)	2	11.76%			
	D	0	.00%			
	F (No Credit)	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	3	17.65%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	17.65%			

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
4.70	.58	5.00	

Qualitative

22 *We value any additional comments.*

- A: He knows his stuff, nothing short of a genius. The material is just tough to digest.
- A: Great teaching style I wish there was more time on the exams. Awesome class!
- A: Dr. Cooksy is the best.
- A: Dr. Cooksy is an excellent professor.

13 student(s) did not respond to this question.