

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 20632, Fall 2011
 Instrument: Survey_id 43345 College of Science - Lab
 Date Printed: 06/06/2014 15:28:55
 Enrolled in class: 20
 Responded to this evaluation: 18
 Response Percentage: 90.00%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
1	Has enhanced your knowledge and understanding of the subject matter.	15	83%	4.40	.74	5.00
	Outstanding	8	44.44%			
	Good	5	27.78%			
	Satisfactory	2	11.11%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
2	Syllabus provided clear learning objectives, expectations, and grading criteria.	15	83%	4.27	.96	5.00
	Outstanding	8	44.44%			
	Good	4	22.22%			
	Satisfactory	2	11.11%			
	Fair	1	5.56%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
3	Activities contributed to the learning process.	15	83%	4.33	.90	5.00
	Outstanding	8	44.44%			

	Good	5	27.78%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.56%			
	Fair	1	5.56%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
4	Time was organized and used effectively.	15	83%	4.27	1.10	5.00
	Outstanding	8	44.44%			
	Good	5	27.78%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.56%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	1	5.56%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
5	Safety policies were emphasized.	12	67%	4.67	.65	5.00
	Outstanding	9	50.00%			
	Good	2	11.11%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.56%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	4	22.22%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	6	33.33%			
6	Overall rating of this laboratory.	15	83%	4.47	.74	5.00
	Outstanding	9	50.00%			
	Good	4	22.22%			
	Satisfactory	2	11.11%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
7	Grade you anticipate receiving for this laboratory.	13	72%	3.92	.76	4.00
	A	3	16.67%			
	B	6	33.33%			
	C - (Credit)	4	22.22%			
	D	0	.00%			
	F - (No Credit)	0	.00%			

	No Opinion	3	16.67%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	5	27.78%			
8	Presented material in a clear and logical manner.	15	83%	4.33	.82	5.00
	Outstanding	8	44.44%			
	Good	4	22.22%			
	Satisfactory	3	16.67%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
9	Had command of the English language.	15	83%	4.87	.52	5.00
	Outstanding	14	77.78%			
	Good	0	.00%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.56%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
10	Was responsive and helpful.	15	83%	4.47	1.13	5.00
	Outstanding	12	66.67%			
	Good	0	.00%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.56%			
	Fair	2	11.11%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
11	Demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	15	83%	4.67	.72	5.00
	Outstanding	12	66.67%			
	Good	1	5.56%			
	Satisfactory	2	11.11%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
12	Stimulated your interest in the subject, recognizing that you	15	83%	4.07	.96	4.00

	may not plan to take additional courses in this area.					
	Outstanding	6	33.33%			
	Good	5	27.78%			
	Satisfactory	3	16.67%			
	Fair	1	5.56%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
13	Used instructional technology effectively.	15	83%	4.33	1.11	5.00
	Outstanding	10	55.56%			
	Good	2	11.11%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.56%			
	Fair	2	11.11%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
14	Responded to diverse student learning styles and needs.	15	83%	4.27	.96	5.00
	Outstanding	8	44.44%			
	Good	4	22.22%			
	Satisfactory	2	11.11%			
	Fair	1	5.56%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			
15	Overall rating of this instructor.	15	83%	4.33	.90	5.00
	Outstanding	9	50.00%			
	Good	2	11.11%			
	Satisfactory	4	22.22%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.56%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	16.67%			

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
4.38	.89	5.00	

Qualitative

16 We value any *additional comments*.

- A: I did not think the 20 minutes exams were satisfactory in testing my knowledge of the subject matter, I think a more traditional method would have worked better for this class.
- A: Best instructor in SDSU!
- A: Professor Cooksy is an awesome professor! He cares about each and every one of his students and wants everyone to succeed. He is so helpful and welcoming. He never fails to answer questions students have and even devotes extra office hour time if needed!

15 student(s) did not respond to this question.

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 20633, Fall 2011
 Instrument: Survey_id 43345 College of Science - Lab
 Date Printed: 06/06/2014 15:30:01
 Enrolled in class: 20
 Responded to this evaluation: 19
 Response Percentage: 95.00%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
1	Has enhanced your knowledge and understanding of the subject matter.	17	89%	4.06	.90	4.00
	Outstanding	6	31.58%			
	Good	7	36.84%			
	Satisfactory	3	15.79%			
	Fair	1	5.26%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	10.53%			
2	Syllabus provided clear learning objectives, expectations, and grading criteria.	16	84%	4.50	.63	5.00
	Outstanding	9	47.37%			
	Good	6	31.58%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.26%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.26%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	15.79%			
3	Activities contributed to the learning process.	17	89%	4.29	.69	4.00
	Outstanding	7	36.84%			

	Good	8	42.11%			
	Satisfactory	2	10.53%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	10.53%			
4	Time was organized and used effectively.	16	84%	4.25	.77	4.00
	Outstanding	7	36.84%			
	Good	6	31.58%			
	Satisfactory	3	15.79%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	15.79%			
5	Safety policies were emphasized.	16	84%	4.38	1.09	5.00
	Outstanding	10	52.63%			
	Good	4	21.05%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.26%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	1	5.26%			
	No Opinion	1	5.26%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	15.79%			
6	Overall rating of this laboratory.	17	89%	4.18	.64	4.00
	Outstanding	5	26.32%			
	Good	10	52.63%			
	Satisfactory	2	10.53%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	10.53%			
7	Grade you anticipate receiving for this laboratory.	15	79%	4.00	.65	4.00
	A	3	15.79%			
	B	9	47.37%			
	C - (Credit)	3	15.79%			
	D	0	.00%			
	F - (No Credit)	0	.00%			

	No Opinion	2	10.53%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	4	21.05%			
8	Presented material in a clear and logical manner.	17	89%	4.06	.97	4.00
	Outstanding	6	31.58%			
	Good	8	42.11%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.26%			
	Fair	2	10.53%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	10.53%			
9	Had command of the English language.	17	89%	4.59	.62	5.00
	Outstanding	11	57.89%			
	Good	5	26.32%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.26%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	10.53%			
10	Was responsive and helpful.	17	89%	4.53	.62	5.00
	Outstanding	10	52.63%			
	Good	6	31.58%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.26%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	10.53%			
11	Demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	17	89%	4.71	.47	5.00
	Outstanding	12	63.16%			
	Good	5	26.32%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	10.53%			
12	Stimulated your interest in the subject, recognizing that you	17	89%	3.82	1.24	4.00

	may not plan to take additional courses in this area.					
	Outstanding	5	26.32%			
	Good	8	42.11%			
	Satisfactory	2	10.53%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	2	10.53%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	10.53%			
13	Used instructional technology effectively.	16	84%	4.56	.51	5.00
	Outstanding	9	47.37%			
	Good	7	36.84%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	5.26%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	3	15.79%			
14	Responded to diverse student learning styles and needs.	15	79%	4.07	1.33	4.00
	Outstanding	7	36.84%			
	Good	6	31.58%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	2	10.53%			
	No Opinion	1	5.26%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	4	21.05%			
15	Overall rating of this instructor.	17	89%	4.47	.87	5.00
	Outstanding	11	57.89%			
	Good	4	21.05%			
	Satisfactory	1	5.26%			
	Fair	1	5.26%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	10.53%			

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
4.30	.85	4.00	

Qualitative

16 *We value any additional comments.*

- A: Very smart teacher. Just a lot of material to keep up with. Very challenging course.
- A: I found it difficult to learn the material independently. I went to all the review sessions and an office hour, but I still had trouble understanding the material.
- A: Dr. Cooksy and Pullman did an outstanding job helping me understand such a difficult subject. Both made extensive efforts to help me outside of class.
- A: Professor Cooksy was very helpful whenever I was confused on something. He explained everything clearly until I was comfortable with the topic. One can easily see that he wishes for every student to learn and improve.

15 student(s) did not respond to this question.

Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
 Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L
 Schedule Number: 20634, Fall 2011
 Instrument: Survey_id 43345 College of Science - Lab
 Date Printed: 06/06/2014 15:30:41
 Enrolled in class: 19
 Responded to this evaluation: 15
 Response Percentage: 78.95%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
1	Has enhanced your knowledge and understanding of the subject matter.	15	100%	4.20	.94	4.00
	Outstanding	7	46.67%			
	Good	5	33.33%			
	Satisfactory	2	13.33%			
	Fair	1	6.67%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
2	Syllabus provided clear learning objectives, expectations, and grading criteria.	15	100%	4.40	.91	5.00
	Outstanding	9	60.00%			
	Good	4	26.67%			
	Satisfactory	1	6.67%			
	Fair	1	6.67%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
3	Activities contributed to the learning process.	15	100%	4.40	.83	5.00
	Outstanding	9	60.00%			

	Good	3	20.00%			
	Satisfactory	3	20.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
4	Time was organized and used effectively.	15	100%	4.27	.88	4.00
	Outstanding	7	46.67%			
	Good	6	40.00%			
	Satisfactory	1	6.67%			
	Fair	1	6.67%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
5	Safety policies were emphasized.	14	93%	4.50	.52	4.50
	Outstanding	7	46.67%			
	Good	7	46.67%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	6.67%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	6.67%			
6	Overall rating of this laboratory.	14	93%	4.36	.74	4.50
	Outstanding	7	46.67%			
	Good	5	33.33%			
	Satisfactory	2	13.33%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	6.67%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	6.67%			
7	Grade you anticipate receiving for this laboratory.	14	93%	4.21	.70	4.00
	A	5	33.33%			
	B	7	46.67%			
	C - (Credit)	2	13.33%			
	D	0	.00%			
	F - (No Credit)	0	.00%			

	No Opinion	1	6.67%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	6.67%			
8	Presented material in a clear and logical manner.	15	100%	4.47	.64	5.00
	Outstanding	8	53.33%			
	Good	6	40.00%			
	Satisfactory	1	6.67%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
9	Had command of the English language.	15	100%	4.67	.62	5.00
	Outstanding	11	73.33%			
	Good	3	20.00%			
	Satisfactory	1	6.67%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
10	Was responsive and helpful.	15	100%	4.60	.63	5.00
	Outstanding	10	66.67%			
	Good	4	26.67%			
	Satisfactory	1	6.67%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
11	Demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	15	100%	4.67	.62	5.00
	Outstanding	11	73.33%			
	Good	3	20.00%			
	Satisfactory	1	6.67%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
12	Stimulated your interest in the subject, recognizing that you	14	93%	4.21	.89	4.50

	may not plan to take additional courses in this area.					
	Outstanding	7	46.67%			
	Good	3	20.00%			
	Satisfactory	4	26.67%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	1	6.67%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	6.67%			
13	Used instructional technology effectively.	15	100%	4.40	.74	5.00
	Outstanding	8	53.33%			
	Good	5	33.33%			
	Satisfactory	2	13.33%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
14	Responded to diverse student learning styles and needs.	15	100%	4.40	.74	5.00
	Outstanding	8	53.33%			
	Good	5	33.33%			
	Satisfactory	2	13.33%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
15	Overall rating of this instructor.	14	93%	4.50	.65	5.00
	Outstanding	8	53.33%			
	Good	5	33.33%			
	Satisfactory	1	6.67%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	6.67%			

Overall Total	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
4.42	.74	5.00	

Qualitative

16 *We value any additional comments.*

A: Dr. Cooksy is one of the best academic instructors I've ever had, period. Patient, humble, and clearly a master of his craft. He makes every effort on his part possible to make sure that students can succeed.

A: no comment.

13 student(s) did not respond to this question.